COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (LA County CRC)

CRC MINUTES FOR SPECIAL MEETING: Friday, December 10, 2021, 1:00 pm

VIDEO FILES FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED AT: CLICK HERE

Agenda

AGENDA ITEM 1: CALL TO ORDER

Thai V. Le, Los Angeles County Citizens Redistricting Commission (LA County CRC) Clerk, called the meeting to order at 1:08 p.m. He reviewed the process for the public to select either English or Spanish access to interpreters.

AGENDA ITEM 2: ROLL CALL

LA County CRC's Resolution No. 2021-03 enables the Commission to meet virtually in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e)(3) to extend the time during which the Commission may continue to teleconference its meeting without compliance with paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of section 54953 because of COVID-19 pandemic and health issues.

ATTENTION ALL PARTICIPANTS

You must select your preferred language!

- Click on "Interpretation" at the bottom of your screen.
- 2. Select English or Spanish
 You then can choose to mute the original audio for a clearer interpretation.

ATENCION TODOS LOS PARTICIPANTES

Debe elegir el idioma que prefiere!

- Haga clic en la "Interpretación" abajo en su pantalla a mano derecha
- Elija Inglés o Español Luego, puede optar por "silenciar el audio original" para escuchar una interpretación más clara.



IF YOU DO NOT CHOOSE A LANGUAGE, YOU WILL NOT HEAR THE INTERPRETATION. SÍ USTED NO SELECCIONA UN IDIOMA, NO PODRÁ ESCUCHAR LA INTERPRETACIÓN

Thai V. Le took roll call. A quorum was present.

Yes	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Excused; arrived 1:50 p.m.	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
Yes	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Excused; arrived 3:05 p.m.	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Excused	Commissioner Brian Stecher
Yes	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

AGENDA ITEM 3: APPROVAL OF AGENDA – CO-CHAIR CAROLYN WILLIAMS

The agenda was accepted with one change; the order of Agenda Items was changed. Review and approval of the minutes was moved to the end of the Agenda so that the public could make their public comments earlier in the meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 4: CLOSED SESSION

Public comment – see recordings on "VIDEO FILE FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED" at the start of the minutes:

1. Josue Alvardo – alerted Commissioners that there may be lawsuits but that such lawsuits will not necessarily serve the greater good.

The Commissioners adjourned to executive closed session at 1:17 p.m. and returned to the special meeting at 2:03 p.m.

Holly Whatley, Independent Legal Counsel for the LA County CRC, stated that there was no reportable action, as a result of the Executive Closed Session.

AGENDA ITEM 5: MINUTES – moved to the end of the agenda


AGENDA ITEM 6: CONSENT ITEMS - CO-CHAIR DAN MAYEDA

6a. Discussion and Potential Approval of Final Map — Co-Chairs Carolyn Williams and Dan Mayeda

Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough, Executive Director, provided a visual overview of the maps elevated at the Wednesday, December 8, 2021, regular meeting. These maps are labeled Map B-4 and Map F-3 and are posted on the LA County CRC website: https://redistricting.lacounty.gov/. They can also be found on the redistricting hub (https://redistricting-lacounty.hub.arcgis.com/)










LOS ANGELES COUNTY 2021



Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough explained that there are 348 Countywide Statistical Areas (CSAs) that consist of the 88 cities, 121 unincorporated areas, and further delineation of the City of Los Angeles into 139 communities. She then shared two tables that listed the differences between the two maps. All other CSAs were assigned to the same sueprvisorial distrcit in both Map B-4 and Map F-4.



Table 1: Lists Differences Between Map B-4 and Map F-4, Sorted by Supervisorial District on Map B-4

City Type		Community	Label	Plan B4	Plan F3
City	La Mirada		City of La Mirada	1	4
City	Pico Rivera		City of Pico Rivera	1	4
City	Santa Fe Springs		City of Santa Fe Springs	1	4
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Covina (Charter Oak)	Unincorporated - Covina (Charter Oak)	1	1 and 5
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	East La Mirada	Unincorporated - East La Mirada	1	4
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	East Whittier	Unincorporated - East Whittier	1	4
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Northeast San Gabriel	Unincorporated - Northeast San Gabriel	1	1 and 5
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	South Whittier	Unincorporated - South Whittier	1	4
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Sunrise Village	Unincorporated - Sunrise Village	1	4
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	West Whittier/Los Nietos	Unincorporated - West Whittier/Los Nietos	1	4
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Whittier	Unincorporated - Whittier	1	4
City	Whittier		City of Whittier	1	1 and 5
City	Los Angeles	Angelino Heights	Los Angeles - Angelino Heights	2	1
City	Los Angeles	Chinatown	Los Angeles - Chinatown	2	1
City	Los Angeles	Downtown	Los Angeles - Downtown	2	1
City	Los Angeles	Historic Filipinotown	Los Angeles - Historic Filipinotown	2	1
City	Los Angeles	Little Tokyo	Los Angeles - Little Tokyo	2	1
City	Los Angeles	Marina Peninsula	Los Angeles - Marina Peninsula	2	3
City	Los Angeles	Palms	Los Angeles - Palms	2	3
City	Los Angeles	Pico-Union	Los Angeles - Pico-Union	2	1
City	Los Angeles	Playa Del Rey	Los Angeles - Playa Del Rey	2	3
City	Los Angeles	Temple-Beaudry	Los Angeles - Temple-Beaudry	2	1
City	Los Angeles	Westlake	Los Angeles - Westlake	2	1
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Marina del Rey	Unincorporated - Marina del Rey	2	3
City	Los Angeles	Los Feliz	Los Angeles - Los Feliz	3	1





City Type		Community	Label	Plan B4	Plan F3
City	Los Angeles	Miracle Mile	Los Angeles - Miracle Mile	3	2
City	Los Angeles	Park La Brea	Los Angeles - Park La Brea	3	2
City	Los Angeles	Sun Valley	Los Angeles - Sun Valley	3	3 and 5
City	Los Angeles	West Hills	Los Angeles - West Hills	3	3 and 5
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Lake Manor	Unincorporated - Lake Manor	3	5
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	West Chatsworth	Unincorporated - West Chatsworth	3	5
City	Hermosa Beach		City of Hermosa Beach	4	2
City	Los Angeles	Vernon Central	Los Angeles - Vernon Central	4	2
City	Manhattan Beach		City of Manhattan Beach	4	2
City	Redondo Beach		City of Redondo Beach	4	2
City	Walnut		City of Walnut	5	1
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Covina	Unincorporated - Covina	1 and 5	1

Table 2: Lists Differences Between Map B-4 and Map F-4, Sorted by Supervisorial District on Map F-3

City Type		Community	Label	Plan F-3	Plan B-4
City	Los Angeles	Angelino Heights	Los Angeles - Angelino Heights	1	2
City	Los Angeles	Chinatown	Los Angeles - Chinatown	1	2
City	Los Angeles	Downtown	Los Angeles - Downtown	1	2
City	Los Angeles	Historic	Los Angeles - Historic	1	2
		Filipinotown	Filipinotown		
City	Los Angeles	Little Tokyo	Los Angeles - Little Tokyo	1	2
City	Los Angeles	Los Feliz	Los Angeles - Los Feliz	1	3
City	Los Angeles	Pico-Union	Los Angeles - Pico-Union	1	2
City	Los Angeles	Temple-Beaudry	Los Angeles - Temple-Beaudry	1	2
City	Los Angeles	Westlake	Los Angeles - Westlake	1	2





City Type		Community	Label	Plan F-3	Plan B-4
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Covina	Unincorporated - Covina	1	1 and
City	Malaut		City of Woland	1	5
City	Walnut		City of Walnut	1	5
City	Hermosa Beach	na: l na:l	City of Hermosa Beach	2	4
	Los Angeles	Miracle Mile	Los Angeles - Miracle Mile	2	3
City	Los Angeles	Park La Brea	Los Angeles - Park La Brea	2	3
City	Los Angeles	Vernon Central	Los Angeles - Vernon Central	2	4
City	Manhattan Beach		City of Manhattan Beach	2	4
City	Redondo Beach		City of Redondo Beach	2	4
City	Los Angeles	Marina Peninsula	Los Angeles - Marina Peninsula	3	2
City	Los Angeles	Palms	Los Angeles - Palms	3	2
City	Los Angeles	Playa Del Rey	Los Angeles - Playa Del Rey	3	2
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Marina del Rey	Unincorporated - Marina del Rey	3	2
City	La Mirada	•	City of La Mirada	4	1
City	Pico Rivera		City of Pico Rivera	4	1
City	Santa Fe Springs		City of Santa Fe Springs	4	1
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	East La Mirada	Unincorporated - East La Mirada	4	1
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	East Whittier	Unincorporated - East Whittier	4	1
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	South Whittier	Unincorporated - South Whittier	4	1
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Sunrise Village	Unincorporated - Sunrise Village	4	1
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	West Whittier/Los Nietos	Unincorporated - West Whittier/Los Nietos	4	1
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Whittier	Unincorporated - Whittier	4	1
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Lake Manor	Unincorporated - Lake Manor	5	3
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	West Chatsworth	Unincorporated - West Chatsworth	5	3
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Covina (Charter Oak)	Unincorporated - Covina (Charter Oak)	1 and 5	1
Unincorporated	Unincorporated	Northeast San Gabriel	Unincorporated - Northeast San Gabriel	1 and 5	1





City Type		Community	Label	Plan F-3	Plan B-4
City	Whittier		City of Whittier	1 and 5	1
City	Los Angeles	Sun Valley	Los Angeles - Sun Valley	3 and 5	3
City	Los Angeles	West Hills	Los Angeles - West Hills	3 and 5	3

Public Comments

Public comment – see recordings on "VIDEO FILE FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED" at the start of the minutes: All individuals who signed up to make public comment had the opportunity to make public comments.

- 1. Stuart Waldman (Caller 4201) would like more people from the northern portion of SFV: the Granada Hills NC south and minor clean ups so NCs are whole
- 2. Stephanie Lemus, Clinica Romero serves more than 11,000 patients; wanted to keep MacArthur Park together with Echo Park and communities; prefers Map F-3
- 3. Ron Vander Molen, Pomona resident Wanted Pomona to be kept whole, including the Fairplex and in SD 1
- 4. Ernesto J. Pantoja local construction union; does not want Pico Union, MacArthur Park, Echo Park area split up; likes Map F-3
- 5. Pogo S Look at Map 100 as a modification, which addresses issues raised
- 6. E. Jeanette Ellis Royston, President, NAACP wanted to leave Pomona as one community; do not split it
- 7. Lina Mira, North Pomona resident wanted Pomona as one city; do not split
- 8. Carol Crosby, Whittier Area Chamber of Commerce supported Map F-3, which keeps Gateway cities together; Map B-4 splits Santa Fe Springs and wanted the Commission to try to keep it together
- 9. Allegra Padilla, Levitt Pavilion Los Angeles in the MacArthur Park area, serving music lovers prefers Map F-4 to keep the arts together
- 10. Juanita Trujillo, Santa Fe Springs council member liked Map F-4 to keep Gateway Cities together (e.g., Santa Fe Springs, Bell, Bell Gardens, Whittier, etc. from Whittier to Long Beach); would help to lobby for MTA projects and other initiatives
- 11. Henry Fung both maps are good (maybe not outstanding); asked not to expand the number of maps being considered; do not one person to derail the Commission's mission
- 12. Caren Spilsbury, Gateway Chamber of Commerce the cities work together; do not split; likes Map F-3



- 13. John Mora, Mayor, City of Santa Fe Springs preferred Map F-3 so Gateway Cities are together; Santa Fe Springs shares many services with Whittier
- 14. Yelena Zeltser (SEACA) SEACA serves Southeast Asians as their stakeholders; liked Map F-3; Map B-4 separates Chinatown from nearby communities with historic interests; Solano Canyon and Lincoln Heights, which she did not like
- 15. Paula Devine, Mayor, City of Glendale keep Glendale whole; advocated for the Tri-Cities of Glendale, Burbank, and Pasadena in SD 5 because of their many joint ventures (e.g., airport)
- 16. Dr. Gary Gonzales, South Whittier School District
- 17. Jessica Panduro referenced Map 101, which modified Map F-3; keeps SFV whole; unites the entertainment industry; addresses Skid Row
- 18. Lisa Dabbs, Vice President, East Whittier City School District supported Map F-3, which keeps Gateway Cities together
- 19. Tim Sandoval, Resident and Mayor of Pomona wants Pomona whole with the Fairplex in one SD
- 20. Ernest Lee, Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association (LA Chinatown) Monterey Park resident; supported Map F-3
- 21. Irene Muro, Executive Director, Whittier Area First Day Coalition Whittier resident; keep the Gateway Cities together from Whittier to Long Beach; they work together on air quality, transportation, etc.; prefers Map F-3
- 22. Eric Dunlap wanted to keep unincorporated areas with their current supervisors; unincorporated areas rely on their supervisors since they do not have Mayors; Rowland Heights, Florence-Firestone, and others would have new supervisors with the map options
- 23. Rosa Soto Whittier resident; removed La Habra from SD 1, which is different from Hacienda Heights; put La Habra back in SD 4 with its population of only 5,000 residents
- 24. Jose Miguel Ruiz, Executive Director, Cultiva LA spoke on food security and his food garden; supported Map F-3 for Echo Park and surrounding areas
- 25. Anna Parra Whittier resident and does community engagement in SD 1; La Habra Heights should be in SD 4 and not with Rowland Heights and Hacienda Heights; supported Map F-3
- 26. Annette Rodriguez, Santa Fe Springs (SFS) Mayor Pro Tem supported Map F-3 to keep the Gateway Cities together
- 27. Chris Rowe Map B-4 cuts West Hills from the SFV; keep Chatsworth, Granada Hills, etc. in SFV; remove Beverly Hills and others on the other side of the mountain from SD 3 so SFV can be whole
- 28. Sissy Trinh, SEACA supported Map F-3 because similar AAPI communities (Chinatown, Solana Canyon (near Dodger Stadium), Lincoln Heights) kept together with similar shared interests
- 29. Lawrence La Habra Heights was removed from SD 1 in Map F-3; wanted it back in SD 4; it is also part of the Gateway COG



- 30. Milo Kirsch spoke on Pasadena's importance with the Hollywood-Burbank Airport
- 31. Maria Brenes, InnerCity Struggle and People's Bloc have reviewed both maps; submitted modifications to Map F-3 to strengthen it to unite SFV, Asian COIs in SD 1, and amplify Latino CVAP in SD 4 and SD 1
- 32. Mary SD 5 resident; community is divided in the foothill equestrian areas; wanted to be in SD 5, not SD 3; supported Map F-3
- 33. Kathie Fink, CEO, Santa Fe Springs Chamber of Commerce liked Map F-3, which keeps the Gateway Cities together
- 34. John Mendoza North Pomona resident near the Fairplex; include North Pomona in the maps
- 35. Bob Frutos, Mayor of the City of Burbank Do not divide Glendale, Burbank and Pasadena because of their joint services

Commissioner Discussion

Priti Mathur, ARCBridge, indicated that a total of 101 maps are submitted to date. She provided an overview of the maps submitted after the Commissioners' elevation of Map B-4 and Map F-3 at the December 8, 2021, regular meeting. (Note: These maps are displayed on the LA County CRC website/hub.)

Commissioners' discussions – see recordings on "VIDEO FILE FOR ENTIRE MEETING POSTED" at the start of the minutes: The Commissioners did a round robin discussion of the maps and public input. Highlights of their comments are:

Co-Chair Carolyn Williams	Commented that many of the public comments are addressed in Map F-3; wants to look at moving La Habra from SD 1 to SD 4. Preferred the shape of S2 in Map B-4.	F-3
Commissioner Doreena Wong	Support for keeping Gateway Cities, SFV, and Pomona together, which Map F-3 does with refinements. Would like to look at Commissioner Saira Soto's latest map submission	F-3
Commissioner Jean Franklin	Had no preference for either map; both can work. Had specific questions and asked for verification on the maps. Both maps keep Pomona whole and keep Burbank with Glendale. She asked about NBC Universal Studios. Would like the percent of SFV kept whole. Wanted to see the maps of the Gateway Cities. Map F-3 keeps the Gateway Cities together, but Map B-4 does not.	None at this point
Commissioner David Holtzman	Had Thai V. Le share Map OP 098 to look at Burbank and Glendale. As a Burbank resident, did not see services firsthand that are shared across the Tri-Cities. Had no problem in dividing the Gateway Cities.	Map OP 098





	Glendale and Burbank together are bigger than Pasadena. Wanted to consider the media and entertainment industry's link to the SFV commerce.	
Commissioner Mary Kenney	Focused on DTLA. Saw that DTLA was included in B-4 it was in one SD 2 and in F-3 in SD 1. B-4 keeps historic Chinatown, Little Tokyo, and Korean Town in one SD. Wanted to preserve the LAX asset with SD 2. Tried to add more of northern part of Gateway Cities to SD 4.	Map OP 096
Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Was pleased that VICA is okay with both maps. Map F-3 was better at addressing historic AAPI communities, Gateway Cities (which is enormous). Was concerned about SD 2's connection to the beach communities. Likes Map OP 101 with some ingenious changes; keeps historic AAPI communities together; creates an entertainment district by uniting Universal City with SD 5.	Map F-3 and consider Map OP 101
Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Cautioned about elevating more maps. Asked about the impact of Map OP 101 on the SGV foothills. Wanted to do some tweaking to the maps. Cautioned against many changes about the last public comments made. Raised concerns about the Whittier communities being split.	Neutral about Maps B-4 and F-3
Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Observed a coalescing of the two maps. Wanted to keep the Gateway Cities together. Some refinements are needed (e.g., Dodger Stadium). The People's Bloc Map OP 101 is based on Map F-3.	Map F-3
Commissioner Nelson Obregon	May need to tweak some areas, such as North Whittier; wanted to keep Pasadena/Glendale together with the SGV foothills in SD 5. The Gateway Cities have common interests. Greater Chinatown area (Lincoln Heights and Solana) wants them kept together with SGV as is done in Map F-3.	Map F-3
Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura	Commerce not included with SELA community and should be, not SD 1. Believed all of her other comments were captured by other Commissioners.	Map F-3
Commissioner Hailes Soto	Would like to consider some of the changes in the People's Bloc Map OP 101. Wanted to consider moving La Habra Heights to SD 4. Some other communities moved could balance the population. Only a few cities were not included in the large Gateway Cities area (e.g.,	Map F-3



	Montebello, Compton, Pico Rivera). SD 2 looks compact. SD 3 encompassed SFV but wanted to look at the West Hills to include it in SFV.	
Commissioner Saira Soto	Believed it addressed many of the historic communities in keeping them whole. It is hard to add populations to one SD because of impact on other SDs. Map F-3 keeps communities with greatest socio-economic impact together. Recognized the work done to date to keep wildlife preserved in coastal areas.	Map F-3
Commissioner Brian Stecher	Reported by Co-Chair Mayeda: Commissioner Stecher had prepared a modification of Map F-3. Tried to reduce the number of beach communities in SD 2, but it removed many Gateway cities out of SD 4. Wanted to maintain the historical African American core communities in SD 2. SD 2 has a larger Latino population under Map B-4. Viewed the beach communities as more closely connected to the South Bay.	Map OP 095
Commissioner John Vento	Liked Map F-3 with modifications and reviewing Map OP 101. Liked the idea of an entertainment COI together. Recognized that there are many cities in SD 2.	Map F-3
Commissioner Doreena Wong	Clarified that the historic AAPI communities wanted to limit the number of SDs they were assigned to. Historic Chinatown and Little Tokyo want to be closer to Monterey Park in SD 1.	

Motion 1

Co-Chair Carolyn Williams made a motion to move forward with one map -- Map F-3. She withdrew the motion.

Motion 2

Co-Chair Carolyn Williams made a motion to remove Map B-4 from consideration. The motion was seconded.

Motion Made:	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner Saira Soto
Outcome:	Approved

No	Commissioner Jean Franklin	Yes	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
No	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
Yes	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Excused	Commissioner Brian Stecher
No	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento



Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	Yes	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
Yes	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

Motion 3

Commissioner David Holtzman made a motion for the public and Commissioners to look at Map OP 101. He withdrew his motion.

Motion 4

A motion was made and seconded to elevate Map OP 101. Commissioner David Holtzman liked the entertainment zone in Map OP 101. Commissioner Saira Soto liked showing support of the community's input.

Co-Chair Williams called for the question.

Motion Made:	Commissioner David Holtzman	
Motion Seconded:	Commissioner Saira Soto	
Outcome:	Failed	

No	Commissioner Jean Franklin	No	Commissioner Priscilla Orpinela-Segura
Yes	Commissioner David Holtzman	Yes	Commissioner Hailes Soto
No	Commissioner Mary Kenney	Yes	Commissioner Saira Soto
No	Co-Chair Daniel Mayeda	Excused	Commissioner Brian Stecher
No	Commissioner Mark Mendoza	Yes	Commissioner John Vento
Yes	Commissioner Apolonio Morales	No	Co-Chair Carolyn Williams
No	Commissioner Nelson Obregon	Yes	Commissioner Doreena Wong

The Commissioners agreed to work on Map F-3 as a group at the meeting scheduled for the next day, Saturday, December 11, 2021, at 9:00 a.m.

6b. Discussion and Direction Regarding Draft Final Report — Co-Chairs Carolyn Williams and Dan Mayeda

This Agenda Item was skipped until there is further clarification on the Final Map.

6c. Review of form resolutions for map adoption and final report (informational only – no action) – Holly Whatley, Independent Legal Counsel -- Continued

Holly Whatley described two drafted resolutions, included in the materials for today's meeting, that address adoption of the Redistricting Plan and Final Report, respectively. She displayed and described suggested



changes to the drafts. She indicated that the text makes it clear that the GIS shapefile data will document the underlying the map.

The Commissioners asked questions of clarification, which Holly Whatley responded to. There was greater clarity about what is a parcel (property ownership). Issues surrounding a parcel that is divided between supervisorial districts were discussed. Commissioner Hailes Soto pointed out that such parcels have a minor impact on supervisorial districts if adjustments are needed.

Commissioner Saira Soto asked, and Holly Whatley agreed, to include language in the resolution that the Registrar-Recorder (RRCC) should file a report of any and all changes made and share that report with the Commissioners.

Public Comments

None.


Co-Chair Mayeda pointed out to the Commissioners that they can provide suggested changes/edits directly to Holly Whatley.

AGENDA ITEM 7: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT – Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough, Ph.D.

Gayla Kraetsch Hartsough outlined the meeting dates and times. The Saturday, December 11, 2021, meeting is now confirmed (not tentative):

AGENDA ITEM 8: ADJOURNMENT – Co-Chair Dan Mayeda

Co-Chair Mayeda adjourned the meeting at 4:58 p.m.



To sign up for receiving future LA County CRC notices, go to: redistricting.lacounty.gov

To submit input to the public hearings, including signing up for speaking before the Commission, go to: https://forms.gle/2SDZSxEuKNZ3ZU1KA

